

***THE PALEOLITHIC ARCHAEOLOGY OF
THE GOBI DESERT, MONGOLIA***

2000 FIELD REPORT*

A Preliminary Description of Activities of the
Joint Mongolian-Russian-American Archaeological Expedition (JMRAAE)
in 2000

by

John W. Olsen, Ph.D.

Professor & Head
Department of Anthropology
The University of Arizona
P.O. Box 210030
Tucson, Arizona 85721-0030 USA

Voice: 520-621-6298
Facsimile: 520-621-2088
E-mail: olsenj@email.arizona.edu



September 2000

***© John W. Olsen, 2000. Not to be cited or quoted without the author's permission.**

Abstract

An interdisciplinary approach to the investigation of Mongolia's earliest prehistory has again this year yielded a range of archaeological, paleoecological, paleogeographic, and geological data that collectively describe a complex, changing pattern of prehistoric human occupation of the Gobi. The Joint Mongolian-Russian-American Archaeological Expedition (JMRAAE) carried out three principal activities during its 2000 field season in the Gobi Desert: (1) excavations ongoing since 1995 were completed at Tsagaan Agui Cave, (2) excavations of a buried open-air artifact concentration near Chikhen Agui rockshelter were carried out, (3) and archaeological survey was conducted of previously unexplored areas the Orkhon and Selenga river valleys and the Hanggai Plateau of central Mongolia. Available chronometric dates for Tsagaan Agui define a sequence of Paleolithic materials extending back to perhaps as much as ca. 60,000 bp (Blackwell et al. in press). Paleomagnetic determinations from strata near the bottom of the cave sequence reveal reversed (presumably Matuyama [R] chron) sediments. Current ^{14}C dates for Chikhen Agui indicate at least two periods of occupation; one between ca. 8,000-11,000 years ago and another around 27,000 bp. The open-air lithic artifact assemblage near Chikhen Agui excavated this year provides an excellent basis for comparison with materials excavated in the rockshelter as well as with the rich prehistoric quarry-workshops on the south face of the Arts Bogd Uul range investigated in 1995 and 1996. The expedition's 2000 reconnaissance of the Orkhon and Selenga valleys and the Hanggai Plateau yielded scattered surface traces of prehistoric occupation that warrant further investigation.

Introduction

From 25 May through 30 July 2000 the Joint Mongolian-Russian-American Archaeological Expedition (JMRAAE) continued, under National Geographic Society support, a program of Paleolithic field research initiated in 1995. The preliminary results of the 1995—1998 expeditions have been published as trilingual monographs (Derevianko, Olsen, and Tseveendorj 1996, 1998, 2000).

Three principal activities were carried out this summer: (1) excavations ongoing since 1995 were completed at Tsagaan Agui Cave, (2) excavations of a buried open-air artifact concentration near Chikhen Agui rockshelter were carried out, (3) and archaeological survey was conducted of previously unexplored areas the Orkhon and Selenga river valleys and the Hanggai Plateau of central Mongolia.

A total of three American, nine Russian and 12 Mongolian participants (including nine Mongolian university students) took part in the 2000 expedition. This configuration allowed the expedition to conduct simultaneous excavations at two localities and undertake an extensive reconnaissance of prospective new areas in the valleys and plateaus of central Mongolia.

Completion of Excavations in Tsagaan Agui Cave

The expedition's priority in 2000 was to complete excavations in Tsagaan Agui Cave (N 44°42'32.6", E 101°10'08.8") in the Gobi Altai range of Bayan Hongor *aimag*.

The dolomitic limestone solution cavity called Tsagaan Agui (White Cave) consists of a narrow, inclining entryway, a lower grotto, a rotunda-like main chamber, and at least two smaller chambers behind the main rotunda.

In 1988 and 1989, joint Soviet-Mongolian expeditions excavated a 16 x 2 to 6 meter trench spanning the drip line along the south margin of the cave's inclined entryway (Derevianko and Petrin 1995). In 1995 we cut back the north profile of that trench an additional 50 cm and extended it two meters east into the cave's main chamber. In 1996, we expanded the sounding in the main rotunda to the east and west to determine the maximum depth of the culture-bearing deposits and resolve the degree to which post-occupational roof-fall has affected the underlying sediments. In 1997 and 1998, JMRAAE's focus of activity in Tsagaan Agui was to link the original Soviet-Mongolian soundings of 1988-1989 and our own excavations of 1995-1996 to yield a continuous longitudinal profile of the cave's main chamber down to the bedrock floor of the solution cavity. In 1996 and 1997 Tsagaan Agui's innermost chambers were also tested. Wood charcoal collected beneath and in contact with a stone slab feature of indeterminate function (altar?) yielded an AMS ¹⁴C date of 3,820 ± 55 rcybp (2σ calibrated to 2460-2049 BC; AA-23159), suggesting late Neolithic or early Bronze Age use of the cave's deep interior, perhaps as a regular pilgrimage spot by the Buddhist period. Bedrock and large blocks of dolomite debris occur at depths of as much as four meters below the present surface of the cave interior. An open chimney in the roof of the main rotunda and the presence of sporadically active streams within the cave complex itself has allowed erosional episodes profoundly influencing the composition and distribution of the cave's sediments.

The cave's lower grotto was tested in 1995, yielding a small collection of stone tools typologically simpler than those recovered from strata within the cave's main chamber. Based on this suggestive evidence, more extensive excavations were conducted in the lower grotto in 1997, 1998, and 2000 yielding many hundreds of artifacts. This year, excavation work at Tsagaan Agui was concentrated in the lower grotto and in the northern portion of the Main Chamber. Sediment analyses conducted in conjunction with the 1995-1998 excavations suggest that the lower grotto contains principally materials redeposited from elsewhere in the Tsagaan Agui complex. Expanded excavations of the lower grotto this year confirm this hypothesis. The lower grotto appears to be a complex network of fissures and channels, some of which may well connect directly with the cave's main rotunda.

More than 3,400 stone artifacts were recovered in the Tsagaan Agui excavations in 1995-1998 in addition to perhaps twice that many pieces of débitage and unused flakes. This year, almost 6,500 lithic artifacts were recovered from approximately 18 cubic meters of sediment removed from the lower grotto alone, suggesting that the lower grotto deposits likely represent concentrated redeposited material rather than a primary context assemblage. While all artifacts were preliminarily classified in the field, at this writing only a small fraction have been thoroughly analyzed.

Preliminary data from the 2000 excavations at Tsagaan Agui reinforce several general conclusions drawn from analyses of archaeological materials from the four previous field seasons:

- (1) raw material appears exclusively local (obtained within just a few hundred meters of the cave entrance), consisting mostly of jaspers and other cryptocrystalline quartz,
- (2) a stratified cultural sequence representing the late prehistoric/early Bronze Age through Middle Paleolithic has been identified,
- (3) tools recovered from the deepest strata consist mostly of flake scrapers and comprise only a small portion (approximately 4%) of the lithic collection from these horizons,
- (4) flakes were derived from both prepared platform “Levallois” (*sensu* Okladnikov 1986 and Alekseev 1990) and polyhedral cores with primary reduction having taken place outside of the cave, principally at the source of the raw material.

The limestone massif containing Tsagaan Agui Cave is littered with the waste products of lithic reduction. Jasper cobbles and boulders outcrop just above the cave entrance and many are surrounded by large primary flakes and smaller débitage indicating in situ reduction. Detailed contour and scatter density mapping of this workshop was completed in 1996 and ongoing analysis of these data is proving instructive as regards the origins of raw materials encountered in the Tsagaan Agui stone industry.

The large and diverse faunal sample recovered in the Tsagaan Agui excavations is currently undergoing analysis at the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences in St. Petersburg by Professors G. F. Baryshnikov (large vertebrates), A. K. Agadjanian (microfauna), and A. Pantelyev (avifauna). A wide range of mammalian and avian species has been identified thus far, many with important paleoecological implications, including the Chiru or Tibetan Antelope (*Pantholops hodgsonii*) which is currently restricted in its distribution to the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, numerous rodents, and 17 species of birds including Saker Falcon (*Falco cherrug*), Blue Hill Pigeon (*Columba rupestris*), Pallas’s Sandgrouse (*Syrhaptes paradoxus*), Horned Lark (*Eremophila alpestris*), and Rock Sparrow (*Petronia petronia*).

Six AMS radiocarbon determinations are currently available for the main chamber in Tsagaan Agui Cave:

AA-23158: (wood charcoal from Quadrat A'23, top of Stratum 3, 355 cm above zero datum):
33,840 ± 640 RCYBP

AA-23159: (wood charcoal from Quadrat A26, Stratum 4, 274 cm above zero datum):
32,960 ± 670 RCYBP

AA-26586: (wood charcoal from Quadrat A22, Stratum 1, Horizon 3, -334 cm):
931 ± 65 RCYBP

AA-26587: (wood charcoal from gravel layer, Quadrat A21, lowest Stratum 2 just above Stratum 3, -430 cm): **33,777 ± 585 RCYBP**

AA-26588: (wood charcoal from Quadrat A'21, surface of Stratum 3, -436 cm): **33,497 ± 600 RCYBP**

AA-26589: (wood charcoal from Quadrat A'22, surface of Stratum 4; probably derived from Stratum 3, -390 cm): **30,942 ± 478 RCYBP**

One additional infinite radiocarbon date (>42,000 rcybp, MGU-1449) was obtained using conventional methods on a wood charcoal sample from Stratum 5, about mid-way down the stratigraphic section of the cave's ramp-like entryway. More AMS dates are forthcoming based on additional samples collected in 2000. Paleomagnetic samples collected from the lower grotto are undergoing analysis in an attempt to further resolve the depositional history of that accumulation.

Excavations at Chikhen Agui

Chikhen Agui rockshelter, located in Bayan Öndör *suum* ca. 150 km west of Tsagaan Agui (N 44°46'22.6", E 99°04'06.4"), was discovered in 1995 and tested in 1996. In 1997 and 1998, more extensive excavations were undertaken, producing a thin but clearly stratified sequence of cultural materials in the rockshelter itself and on the adjacent talus slope. Ranging from aceramic microlithic materials at the top of the sequence to Levallois-like prepared core flake-based assemblages resembling early Upper Pleistocene sites in Siberia such as Denisova Cave, Kokorevo, and Kara Bom (Goebel and Aksenov 1995), the Chikhen Agui collections may contain technological evidence of the Middle-Upper Paleolithic transition (Aitkin *et al.* 1993; Klein 1995; Nitecki and Nitecki 1994).

Seven conventional ¹⁴C dates generated by the Russian Academy of Sciences and three AMS determinations performed at Arizona on samples from the upper culture-bearing strata suggest a range of ca. 8,000 to 11,000 rcybp for the microlithic component of the assemblage. At present, only one AMS date for the lower culture-bearing strata is available (AA-26580). This date suggests a much greater antiquity for the lower horizons:

AA-26580: (wood charcoal, Quadrat Д/3, -112 cm): **27,432 ± 872 RCYBP**

AA-26581: (wood charcoal, Quadrat E/3, -65 cm): **8,540 ± 95 RCYBP**

AA-26582: (wood charcoal, Quadrat Д/4, -84 cm): **8,847 ± 65 RCYBP**

AA-26583: (wood charcoal, Quadrat Г/2, -85 cm): **9,040 ± 85 RCYBP**

GX-23893: (composite organic matter, Quadrat Д/6, Stratum 1, -12 to -21 cm): **6,870 ± 105 RCYBP**

GX-23894: (composite organic matter, Quadrat Д/6, Stratum 3, -27 to -34 cm): **8,770 ± 140 RCYBP**

SOAN-3569: (wood charcoal, Quadrat Г/6, Horizon 2, Hearth 6, -36 cm): **8,940 ± 100 RCYBP**

SOAN-3570: (wood charcoal, Quadrat Г/6, Horizon 3, Hearth 10, -43 cm): **11,110 ± 60 RCYBP**

SOAN-3571: (wood charcoal, Quadrat Г/6, Horizon 3, Hearth 10, -54 cm): **11,160 ± 160 RCYBP**

SOAN- 3572: (wood charcoal, Quadrat Г/5, Horizon 1, Hearth 4): **8,055 ± 155 RCYBP**

SOAN-3573: (wood charcoal, Quadrat Г/8, Horizon 2, Hearth 5): **8,600 ± 135 RCYBP**

These dates provide a basis for preliminary interpretation of the prehistoric materials excavated in Chikhen Agui, and two interim conclusions can be reached:

1. The microlithic industry recovered in the three upper horizons may be broadly defined as “Mesolithic” (i.e., terminal Pleistocene/early Holocene aceramic microlithic, *sensu* Okladnikov 1986 and Alekseev 1990).
2. The large blade complex with Mousterian-like points recovered from Cultural Horizon 4 in Stratum 3 is best considered transitional—perhaps Middle-Upper Paleolithic.

In 2000, JMRAAE team members excavated a buried open-air concentration of artifacts southeast of Chikhen Agui above a narrow canyon leading to an active spring. The areal excavation encompassing more than 20 square meters that was opened yielded stratified stone tools similar to those recovered from Stratum 4 in the rockshelter and artiodactyl (*Gazella?*) bones to a depth of at least 30cm. This locality, reported as Locus 2 in JMRAAE’s 1996 expedition report (Derevianko, Olsen, and Tseveendorj 1998: 100), holds great potential for future excavation.

Results of Archaeological Reconnaissance in Central Mongolia

During the 2000 field season a 14-day reconnaissance was undertaken of potential archaeological localities in central Mongolia, focussing on the Orkhon and Selenga river valleys and the Hanggai Plateau. Occasional surface scatters of lithic artifacts, some in weakly stratified near-stream deposits, suggest the regular though perhaps not intensive occupation of these valleys during the bulk of the Upper

Pleistocene. The predominantly volcanic topography of the region limited the range of geological contexts in which archaeological materials might occur, thus the expedition was not successful in discovering cave and rockshelter deposits containing prehistoric material. Nonetheless, sufficient surface materials were collected to encourage expedition participants that additional reconnaissance in the region might still yield Paleolithic artifacts in stratified contexts.

Conclusions & Prospects

The bulk of this past summer's archaeological and other collections have been transported to Novosibirsk, Russia and Tucson, Arizona where better facilities than those currently available in Ulaanbaatar will allow artifacts and other samples to be thoroughly analyzed before our next field season. The Russian, Mongolian, and American sides concluded a new five-year agreement for continued joint archaeological work in Mongolia to commence in 2002.

The joint expedition's goals will continue to include the elucidation of the initial peopling of Mongolia and subsequent population dynamics. The positive preliminary results of our reconnaissance of ancient beaches associated with the large, currently brackish lake, Bööen Tsagaan Nuur, as well as the Selenga and Orkhon valleys encourage us to pursue our search for additional archaeological complexes associated with extinct lacustrine features and lithic raw material sources. Results of chronometric and other analyses currently underway will refine these general goals in the context of strategic planning for JMRAAE's 2002 expedition.

References Cited

Aitkin, M. J., C. B. Stringer, and P. A. Mellars (editors). 1993. *The Origin of Modern Humans and the Impact of Chronometric Dating*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Alekseev, V. P. (editor). 1990. *Paleolit i Neolit Mongolskogo Altaiya (Paleolithic and Neolithic of the Mongolian Altai)*. Novosibirsk: "Nauka".

Blackwell, B. A. B., J. W. Olsen, A. P. Derevianko, D. Tseveendorj, A. F. R. Skinner, and M. Dwyer. In press. ESR (Electron Spin Resonance) dating the Paleolithic site at Tsagaan Agui, Mongolia. *Proceedings of the 23rd International Archaeometry Conference, Budapest, April 1998*.

Derevianko, A. P., J. W. Olsen, and D. Tseveendorj (editors). 1996. *Archaeological Studies Carried Out by the Joint Russian-Mongolian-American Expedition in 1995*. Novosibirsk: Izdatelstvo, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Branch, Institute of Archaeology and Ethnography.

Derevianko, A. P., J. W. Olsen, and D. Tseveendorj (editors). 1998. *Archaeological Studies Carried Out by the Joint Russian-Mongolian-American Expedition in 1996*. Novosibirsk: Izdatelstvo, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Branch, Institute of Archaeology and Ethnography.

Derevianko, A. P., J. W. Olsen, and D. Tseveendorj (editors). 2000. *Archaeological Studies Carried Out by the Joint Russian-Mongolian-American Expedition in 1997 and 1998*. Novosibirsk: Izdatelstvo, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Branch, Institute of Archaeology and Ethnography.

Derevianko, A. P. and V. T. Petrin. 1995. *Issledovaniya Peshchernogo Kompleksa Tsagan-Agui na Yuzhnom Fas Gobiskogo Altaiya v Mongolii (Studies of the Tsagaan Agui Cave Complex on the South Face of the Gobi Altai in Mongolia)*. Novosibirsk: Izdatelstvo, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Branch, Institute of Archaeology and Ethnography.

Goebel, T. and M. Aksenov. 1995. Accelerator radiocarbon dating of the initial Upper Paleolithic in southeast Siberia. *Antiquity* 69:349-357.

Klein, R. G. 1995. Anatomy, behavior, and modern human origins. *Journal of World Prehistory* 9(2):167-198.

Nitecki, M. H. and D. V. Nitecki (editors). 1994. *Origins of Anatomically Modern Humans*. New York and London: Plenum Press.

Okladnikov, A. P. 1986. *Paleolit Mongolii (The Paleolithic of Mongolia)*. Novosibirsk: "Nauka".